

Attachment B

Analysis of Verizon's pictures

The staff report conclusion that there will be no significant visual impact to surrounding residential parcels and to Big Basin Highway which is a scenic corridor is based upon faulty pictures. Please see our analysis below.

Monopine in View #5 (page 2) and View #6 (page 3) is not 50 feet tall

see pictures on page 2 - 3

The red freight container in these pictures is 8' 6" tall, if you stack this five high you get 42' 6", the monopine in both images is well below this height. We have marked the height for each container, the height of the monopine, and the 50' mark in yellow on each image.

The height of the freight container can be verified per "K" Line's website, see links below.

http://www.kline.com/KAMContainers/Container-Specifications-Dimensions-20_foot_dry.pdf

http://www.kline.com/KAMContainers/Container-Specifications-Dimensions-40_foot_dry.pdf

The pictures misrepresent the visual impact

View #4 (page 4) is a perfect example of this

see pictures on page 4 - 6

It is taken with a tree directly in front of where the monopine will be. Both images we have provided are taken a few feet from where Verizon's was taken. They clearly show how visible this monopine will be. If their image was trying to show the proposed equipment as stated, that can be shown much better in our image on page 6.

On a side note in the image on page 6 you can see how close the monopine will be to the redwoods and how it touches the branches of these redwoods. What fire hazard does this cause?

View #8 (page 7) is another perfect example of this

see pictures on page 7 - 8

In the image provided by Verizon it says "proposed treepole not visible". This picture is taken from the middle of the street. If you move just a few feet and take the picture from the residence you can see that it is quite visible.



Hwy 9 & Hwy 236

Site # 250378

Looking West from Pine Street


2/1/13

13260 Big Basin Way
Boulder Creek, CA

View #5

Applied Imagination 510 914-0500

Monopine in View #5 (page 2) and View #6 (page 3) is not 50 feet tall



Hwy 9 & Hwy 236

Site # 250378

Looking West from Pine Street

13260 Big Basin Way
Boulder Creek, CA

View #6

2/1/13

Applied Imagination 510 914-0500

Monopine in View #5 (page 2) and View #6 (page 3) is not 50 feet tall



verizonwireless

Hwy 9 & Hwy 236

Site # 250378

Looking South from Lorenzo Avenue

2/1/13

13260 Big Basin Way
Boulder Creek, CA

View #4

Applied Imagination 510 914-0500

View #4 (page 4) misrepresents the visual impact

It is taken with a tree directly in front of where the monopine will be.

If their image was trying to show the proposed equipment as stated that can be shown much better in our image on page 6.



View #4 (page 4) misrepresents the visual impact
It is taken with a tree directly in front of where the monopine will be.
If their image was trying to show the proposed equipment as stated that can be show much better in our image on page 6.



View #4 (page 4) misrepresents the visual impact
It is taken with a tree directly in front of where the monopine will be.
If their image was trying to show the proposed equipment as stated that can be show much better in our image on page 6.



verizonwireless

Hwy 9 & Hwy 236

Site # 250378

Looking North from Oak Street

3/15/13

13260 Big Basin Way
Boulder Creek, CA

View #8

Applied Imagination 510 914-0500

View #8 (page 7) misrepresents the visual impact, it says *“proposed treepole not visible”*.

Their picture is taken from the middle of the street, our image (page 8) is taken from the residence, as you can see the treepole is quite visible.



View #8 (page 7) misrepresents the visual impact, it says *“proposed treepole not visible”*. Their picture is taken from the middle of the street, our image (page 8) is taken from the residence, as you can see the treepole is quite visible.